



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,354	12/05/2003	Bjorn Hansson	9342-139	8255	
54414	7590 04/28/2006		EXAMINER		
MYERS BIG	GEL SIBLEY & SAJOV	D AGOSTA, STEPHEN M			
P.O. BOX 37 RALEIGH, 1			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2617		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/729,354	HANSSON ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen M. D'Agosta	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 03 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aft ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mi	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expiresnotatis from the mailing by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	136(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection,	ate extension fee riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			_
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 		II be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). The examiner notes that Zhao has short-comings but he remedies the situation by combining Zhao with McClennon and Dussell. Specifically, Zhao teaches round trip delays but not being calculated for the entire path (eg. to include the networked GPS server). McClennon and Dussell teach compensation for round trip delay for the entire path, eg. including the networked GPS server. As a second point, the applicant provides virtually no description in the claims as to what the "networked GPS server" can/can't be, hence the examiner can broadly interpret it. Hence the examiner is not swayed by the arguments and believes his prior art reads on the broadly written claims. Further amending may provide a more favorable outcome.

STEVE M. D'AGOSTA PRIMARY EXAMINER

11-25-2006